

## M.Sc. THESIS SYNOPSIS

# Impact of Biochar based on inorganic amendment on soil biochemical indicators and growth parameter under Wheat cultivation

Submitted By:

REMA BARMAN 11713473 M.Sc. Agronomy

Master of Science in Agriculture Under Guidance of

## Dr. ARUN KUMAR K.

(Agronomy) Lovely Professional University

Discipline of Agriculture Lovely Professional University, Phagwara Punjab (144402) - India May 2018

## SUPERVISORS CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work synopsis report in "Impact of Biochar based inorganic amendment on soil biochemical indicators and growth parameter under Wheat cultivation" submitted by Rema Barman, 11714373, at Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India is a bonafide record of her original work carried out under my supervision. This work has not been submitted elsewhere for any other degree.

#### (Signature of the student)

Rema Barman Reg no: 11723473

#### (Signature of the Supervisor)

Dr. Arun Kumar K UID: 18703 HOD/ Department of Agronomy School of Agriculture Lovely Professional Agriculture

## **CANDIDATES DECLARATION**

We hereby certify that the work which is being presented in the "Impact of Biochar based inorganic amendment on soil biochemical indicators and growth parameter under Wheat cultivation" in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the "Master of Science In Agriculture" and submitted to the school of Agriculture of Lovely Professional University is a work carried out under the supervision of Dr. Arun Kumar K (Associate Professor, Agronomy) Department of Agronomy, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab.

The work presented in the report has not been submitted by us for the award of any other degree elsewhere.

(Signature of the student)

Rema Barman

**Reg no:-** 11713473

## **1. TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.		
1.	Introduction	1-3		
2.	Review of Literature	4-8		
3.	Materials and Methods	8-12		
5	Photographs	13-14		
4.	References	15-18		

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Wheat is a grass widely cultivated for its seed, a cereal grain which is a worldwide staple food. There are many species of wheat which together make up the genus *Triticum*; the most widely grown is common wheat (*T. aestivum*). The archaeological record suggests that wheat was first cultivated in the regions of the Fertile Crescent around 9600 BCE. Botanically, the wheat kernel is a type of fruit called a caryopsis. Wheat is grown on more land area than any other food crop (220.4 million hectares, 2014). World trade in wheat is greater than for all other crops combined. In 2016, world production of wheat was 749 million tonnes, making it the second most-produced cereal after maize. Since 1960, world production of wheat and other grain crops has tripled and is expected to grow further through the middle of the 21st century. Global demand for wheat is increasing due to the unique viscoelastic and adhesive properties of gluten proteins, which facilitate the production of processed foods, whose consumption is increasing as a result of the worldwide industrialization process and the westernization of the diet. Wheat is an important source of carbohydrates. Globally, it is the leading source of vegetal protein in human food, having a protein content of about 13%, which is relatively high compared to other major cereals, but relatively low in protein quality for supplying essential amino acids. When eaten as the whole grain, wheat is a source of multiple nutrients and dietary fiber.

Wheat is widely cultivated cereal, spread from 57°N to 47°S latitude. Hence, wheat is cultivated and harvested throughout the year in one country or other. China, India, Russian federation, USA, France, Canada, Germany, Pakistan, Australia and Turkey are most important wheat growing countries. In India, UP, Punjab, Haryana, MP, Rajasthan, Bihar, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Uttaranchal and West Bengal are the important wheat cultivating states.

HD6927 is double dwarf variety of wheat with an average height of 101 cm. It has profuse tillering. The ears are medium dense and tapering in shape with white glumes. Its grains are amber, medium bold, hard and lustrous. It is resistant to yellow and brown rusts but susceptible to karnal bunt and loose smut diseases. It takes about 157 days to mature.

Wheat is cultivated in a variety of soils in India. Soils with a clay loam or loam texture, good structure and moderate water holding capacity are ideal for wheat cultivation. Care should be taken to avoid very porous and excessively drained soils. Soil should be neutral in its reaction. Heavy soils with good drainage are suitable for wheat cultivation under dry conditions. These soils absorb and retain in rain water well. Heavy soils with poor

structure and poor drainage are not suitable as wheat is sensible to water logging. Wheat can be successfully grown on lighter soils provided their water and nutrient holding capacities are improved. To improve the growth rate and development of wheat crop we are going to use biochar to increase the nutrient use efficiency.

Biochar addition does not influence vield components of any crop, but resulted in increased soil N retention, which influenced rice dry shoot mass, spikelet's sterility, panicle number, and grain mass. Biochar also promoted increased soil pH, potassium content, and exchangeable sodium percentage and decreased calcium and magnesium concentrations in the soil .The rice-husk biochar used was slightly alkaline (pH 7.79), increased the pH of the soil, and contained elevated levels of some trace metals and exchangeable cations (K, Ca and Mg) in comparison to the soil. The biochar treatments were found to increase the final biomass, root biomass, plant height and number of leaves in all the cropping cycles in comparison to no biochar treatments. Biochar made from manure and bones is the exception; it retains a significant amount of nutrients from its source. Because biochar attracts and holds soil nutrients, it potentially reduces fertilizer requirements. As a result, fertilization costs are minimized and fertilizer (organic or chemical) is retained in the soil for longer. In most agricultural situations worldwide, soil pH (a measure of acidity) is low (a pH below 7 means more acidic soil) and needs to be increased. Biochar retains nutrients in soil directly through the negative charge that develops on its surfaces, and this negative charge can buffer acidity in the soil, as does organic matter in general.

Biochar is a solid carbon rich material and by-product of pyrolysis, has been identified as an amendment to improve soil fertility as well as sequester carbon (C). Ammonium is generated by microbial processes and nutrient cascades that convert nitrogen from organic forms found mainly in proteins and nucleic acids into mineral forms (ammonium, nitrate and nitrite) that can intermittently be converted by nitrifying and denitrifying microbes to gaseous emissions that include volatile ammonia gas (N<sub>1</sub>), nitrogen gas (N<sub>2</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and other reactive nitrogen. After application of biochar in soil enzyme activities were high in wet conditions in both soils with growing plants, with or without biochar. In sandy till, alanine and leucine aminopeptidase activities decreased in biochar-treated soil, but not in the medium-fine sand.  $\beta$ -N-acetyl- D-glucosaminidase and phosphomonoesterase activities were enhanced in biochar-treated medium-fine sand. The effects of plant, season and soil type on the enzyme activities were clear and frequently observed, whereas the effects of biochar were only few and weak.

On this current situation, it is an urgent need to change the decline soil quality, conserve organic matter and improve soil nutrient use efficiency. The present study is proposed with the following objectives

- 1. To study the impact of rice husk biochar on soil biological and biochemical indicators
- 2. To evaluate the nutrient use efficiency as per different proportion of rice husk biochar
- 3. To study the soil physical and chemical parameter changes under influence of biochar
- 4. To correlate the nutrient status and biological indicators with growth and yield of wheat.
- 5. To understand and correlate the structural properties of biochar with soil biochemical functions.

#### **REVIWE OF LITERATURE**

Biochar: The word "biochar" is a combination of "bio-" which is known as "biomass" and "char" as in "charcoal". It has been used in scientific literature of the 20th and 21st century. Biochar is charcoal which is used as a reclamations of soil physical condition .Physical condition of Biochar is solid which is high in carbon, and can remain in soil for thousands of years. Like most charcoal, biochar is made from biomass via pyrolysis. The present situation says that Biochar is under exploration as an influence to carbon sequestration. Apart from this, biochar can also enhance soil fecundity under low pH condition and also expand the agricultural production, and provide protection against some foliar and soil-borne diseases. Application of Biochar to agriculture soils has been advanced as a means to renovate soil luxuriance and diminish the climate change. Recently, data has been reported and says that modification of biomass into Biochar can not only consequence of renewable energy (synthetic gas and bio oil), but also eliminate the content of CO2 in the atmosphere, which demonstrated more research on the effect and impact of Biochar in soil. It is indicated that application of Biochar into soil improves the structure and properties of soil, such as the water-holding capacity, organic matter content, aeration condition, pH value, cationic exchange capacity (CEC), and the formation of aggregates of soil. The leaching losses of nitrogen and phosphorous in soil and the releases of greenhouse gases (N2O and CH4) from soil could be reduced in the presence of Biochar. Biochar has porous structure, charged surface, and surface functional groups (such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, phenolic hydroxyl, and carbonyl groups). These properties are the important factors that influence the migration, transformation, and bioavailability of contaminants in soil.

**Physiochemical properties of Biochar:** Biochar is made by bomass via pyrolisis. Thermal degradation of cellulose between 250 and 350°C results in considerable mass loss in the form of volatiles, leaving behind a rigid amorphous C matrix. As the pyrolysis temperature increases, so thus the proportion of aromatic carbon in the biochar, due to the relative increase in the loss of volatile matter (initially water, followed by hydrocarbons, tarry vapours, H2, CO and CO2), and the conversion of alkyl and O-alkyl C to aryl C (Baldock and Smernik, 2002; Demirbas 2004). Around 330°C, poly aromatic graphene sheets begin to grow laterally, at the expense of the amorphous C phase, and eventually coalesce. Above 600°C, carbonization becomes the dominant process. Carbonization is marked by the removal of most remaining non-C atoms and consequent relative increase of the C content, which can be up to 90% (by weight) in biochars from woody feedstocks (Antal and Gronli, 2003; Demirbas, 2004). It is commonly accepted that each biochar particle comprises of two main

structural fractions: stacked crystalline graphene sheets and randomly ordered amorphous aromatic structures. Hydrogen, O, N, P and S are found predominantly incorporated within the aromatic rings as heteroatoms (Bourke et al., 2007).

**Biochar application in agriculture:** Rice is the most important crop all over the world. After harvesting of rice crop farmers generally pulverize the soil with paddy straw but the problem is that due to high C:N in the rice husk its takes lots of time to decompose and speciality in paddy straw is that, it have good elastic capacity so during pulverization the straw are remain same in the field. To solve that problem making of biochar is best solution under pyrolisis.

Sarah Carter, et al 2013 found that The rice-husk biochar used was slightly alkaline, increased the pH of the soil, and contained elevated levels of some trace metals and exchangeable cations (K, Ca and Mg) in comparison to the soil. The biochar treatments were found to increase the final biomass, root biomass, plant height and number of leaves in all the cropping cycles in comparison to no biochar treatments.

Obemah *et al.*, 2014 improve that Biochar (BC) is the carbon-rich product obtained when biomass, such as wood, manure, or leaves, is heated in a closed container with little or no available air. In more technical terms, BC is produced by so-called thermal decomposition of organic material under limited supply of oxygen (O2) and at relatively low temperature (<700 degreeC). The term "BC" is a relatively contemporary development, evolving in conjunction with soil management, carbon sequestration issues, and immobilization of pollutants . Addition of BC to agriculture soils has been projected as a means to improve soil fertility and mitigate climate change. Recently, it is reported that conversion of biomass into BC can not only result in the renewable energy (synthetic gas and bio oil), but also decrease the content of CO2 in the atmosphere, which reveal more research on the effect and behaviour of BC in soil . It is indicated that amending BC into soil improves the structure and properties of soil, such as the water-holding capacity, organic matter content, aeration condition, pH value, cationic exchange capacity (CEC), and the formation of aggregates of soil.

**Physical Chemical and Biological Properties of soil:** Soil is the back bone of agriculture. It has following properties like physical, Chemical, Biological. Physical properties like soil structure, soil texture, Bulk densty, porosity etc. Chemical properties such as pH, EC, CEC, micro and macro nutrient in soil.

Atanu Mukherjee *et al* 2013 have been found that Soil functions depend on three key properties, physical, chemical, and biological, and biochar has been applied as an amendment

5 | Page

to test its impacts on soil properties. Whereas, biochar is widely considered as a soil amendment, the focus of the past studies has mostly been limited to the nutrient status of the amended soils including CEC, pH, nutrient content, vegetative growth, and the C sequestration potential of the amended soils. Positive effects of biochar have been reported on soil nutrient status and C sequestration, microbial community or soil biota, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions which are related to physical properties of soils. However, there is little published information about effects of biochar on soil physical properties and GHG emissions which are closely linked. Moreover, existing reviews on GHG emissions are somewhat conflicting in nature as some indicate reductions in GHGs upon biochar application, while others report enhancement. In other words, if one of the GHGs is suppressed by biochar addition another is either enhanced or not affected. Clearly, there is a knowledge-gap as to how biochar alters soil physical properties and the mechanisms responsible for GHG emissions. Thus, the objectives of this article are to collate and synthesize available information regarding the effect of biochar on soil physical properties, and associated effects on GHG emissions, and to identify, rationalize and prioritize researchable topics related to the use of biochar as a soil amendment.

**Biochar as a Carrier for Nitrogen Fertiliser:** Besides the release of N intrinsically embodied in the biochar there have been attempts to further enhance the delivery of N using biochar by adding nutrients to the biochar prior to soil incorporation. For example, Sarkhot *et al.* Has been proved that application of mixed biochar with filtered liquid dairy manure, by shaking the mixture for 24 h and then oven drying the biochar, which increased the biochar's N content. When the unamended and N enriched biochars were added to the soil in an 8 week incubation experiment, reductions in net nitrification, and net ammonification rates were reduced. However, enriching biochar with N did not alter the N2O flux, which averaged a reduction in the biochar treatments. These results were interpreted as being due to adsorption processes rather than enhanced immobilisation, since CO2 fluxes were also lower under biochar treatments. Sarkhot *et al.* thus concluded that N enriched biochar could be used as a slow release N fertilizer.

**Biochar impact on soil enzymes:** Soil enzymes increase the reaction rate at which plant residues decompose and release plant available nutrients. The substance acted upon by a soil enzyme is called the substrate. For example, glucosidase (soil enzyme) cleaves glucose from glucoside (substrate), a compound common in plants. Enzymes are specific to a substrate and have active sites that bind with the substrate to form a temporary complex. The enzymatic reaction releases a product, which can be a nutrient contained in the substrate. Sources of soil

enzymes include living and dead microbes, plant roots and residues, and soil animals. Enzymes stabilized in the soil matrix accumulate or form complexes with organic matter (humus), clay, and humus-clay complexes, but are no longer associated with viable cells.

Sudeshna Bhattacharjya *et al* have been found during the pot experiment on effect on soil biochemical properties, nutrient availability and yield of rice (Oryza sativa L.) and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) that biochar application on SOC build-up, soil properties and crop yields in different studies varied greatly with the composition of biochars, soil types and environmental conditions. This necessitated the need for establishment of possible connections between biochar properties and the soil biological functioning, and their implications on soil processes. Soil biochemical properties act as quick indicators of soil quality as they are highly sensitive to alterations in management practices (Nannipieri *et al.* 2002). Soil enzyme activity reflects key microbial reactions involved into soil nutrient cycling (Nannipieri *et al.* 2002). In addition, the measurement of these biochemical properties along with soil available nutrient may help to understand biogeochemical effects of soil amendments such as biochar on nutrient cycling and crop growth (Lehmannn *et al.* 2011).

**Biochar impact on agromonical parameter:** Agronomical parameter are those parameter which gives results after applying of any treatment to field. The parameters includes plant height, leaf length, no. of tillars, no. Of panicle, panicle length, test weight, Dry matter etc.

Tariku *et al* 2017 says that after application of biochar the germination percentages (GP) range is increased in different treatments but the statistical analysis revealed that it is not statistically significant differences . Similarly, other parameters such as mean germination time (MGT) and germination index (GI) were also affected by different rate of biochar as compared to the control. Biochar application did not decrease germination.

The application of rice husk biochar in different concentration in wheat pots experiment sowing different plant height in every treatment. Significantly plant height were increased upto 45-75 days after sowing. As well as no of tillers also more in case of biochar treated plants. Rich husk biochar also increased the shoot and root biomass as compare to untreated biochar plant.

Seed number per pod at physiological maturity was significantly affected by different rate of biochar. The higher number of seeds was recorded in biochar applied plants .This implies that as the number of productive seeds per panicle increases the yield per hectare also increases. The increase may be associated with increase in the number of seeds per plant, sustained nutrient supply, increased photosynthetic activity , and good translocation **7** | P a g e efficiency. Similar to the present study, high seed numbers per pod were reported for soybean sown in low pH soil that was amended with biochar. According to number of seeds/pods of pea was highly significantly affected by application of biochar of different origin.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **3.1 Experimental Location**

The experiment will be conducted on Agricultural research farm, LPU, phagwara. Geographically it is situated at 31 degree 22 minutes and 31.81 seconds' north latitude and 75 degree and 23 minutes and 3.02 seconds' east longitude with an altitude of about 252 meters above the sea level which falls under the Trans-Gangetic plain region of agro-climatic zone of Punjab.



Fig 1: Map of Punjab



Fig 2: Satellite map of LPU

**3.2 Name of experiment:** Impact of Biochar based inorganic amendment on soil biochemical indicators and growth parameter under Wheat cultivation

## 3.3 Experimental details:

ete Enpermentar actanst	
1. Year of experimentation	: 2017
2. Recommended dose of fertilizers	: 50%, 75% and 100%
3. No. of treatments	: 8
4. No. of replications	: 3
5. No. of pots in each treatment	: 5
6. Total no. Of Pots	: $(8 \times 3 \times 5) = 120$
7. Expected Date of sowing	: 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortnight of November (30 <sup>th</sup> Nov)
8. Experimental design	: Complete randomize design
	(CRD)
9. Crop and variety	: Wheat, HD 2967
<b>3.4 Treatment Details</b>	
T1: Control	
T2: 100% RDF	
T3: 50% RDF + 3% Biochar	
T4: 75% RDF + 3% Biochar	
T5: 100% RDF + 3% Biochar	
T6: 50% RDF + 5% Biochar	
T7: 75% RDF + 5% Biochar	
T8: 100% RDF + 5% Biochar	

Layou	t						
T1R1	T1R2	T1R3	T1R4	T1R5	T1R6	T1R7	T1R8
1,2,3	1,2,3	<b>1,2,3</b>	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3
4,5	4,5	<b>4,5</b>	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5
T2R1	T2R2	T2R3	T2R4	T2R5	T2R6	T2R7	T2R8
1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3
4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5
T3R1	T3R2	T3R3	T3R4	T3R5	T3R6	T3R7	T3R8
1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3
4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5	4,5

#### 3.5 Laboratory analysis of soil sample

### 3.5.1 Soil physic chemical analysis:-

#### Soil pH

pH will be conducted using glass electrode method by (Sparks, 1996) in a 1:2.5 ratio of soil water suspension in a pre-calibrated pH meter.

#### **Electrical conductivity (EC)**

Electrical conductivity is also measured using 1:2.5 ratio of soil water suspension in a precalibrated EC meter. It will be followed by conductivity meter method given by (Sparks, 1996).

#### **Soil Texture**

The method to find the composition of sand, silt and clay is by hydrometer method given by (Bouyoucos, 1962). Textural triangle given by (brady and weil, 2002) can also be used.

## **Total Organic Carbon**

The determination of soil organic carbon will be based on the Walkley-Black chromic acid wet oxidation method (Allison, 1965)

#### Microbial biomass carbon

Microbial biomass carbon of soil will be determined according to Vance *et al.*, (1987) including extraction of organic carbon (C) from fumigated and un-fumigated soils with  $K_2SO_4$ .

**10 |** Page

#### **Particulate Organic Carbon**

The procedure followed to determine Particulate Organic Carbon will be Camberdella and Elliot, given in 1992.

#### **Available Nitrogen**

The amount of available nitrogen present in the experimental field will be determined using the method by (Subbiah and Asija,1956).

#### Ammonical and Nitrate N

Exchangeable Ammonium-N and nitrate-N will be estimated by the methods described by Keeney and Nelson (1982).

## **Total Nitrogen**

The method to find the amount of total nitrogen in the soil will be kjeldahl method which is invented by johanKjeldahl in 1883.

## Available phosphorous

Available phosphorous will be determined using Olsen's method found by Olsen *et al* (1954) and (Jackson, 1973)

#### Available potassium

The method of flame photometer given by Toth and Prince, 1949 will be followed.

## 3.5.2 Soil Biochemical Analysis:-

#### **Dehydrogenise Activity**

Determination of dehydrogenise activity in soil will be conducted using method given by (Klein *et al*, 1971).

#### **Acid Phosphates**

Acid phosphatase activity was estimated colorimetrically using the method described by Tabatabai and Bremner (1969).

#### **Alkaline Phosphates**

Alkaline phosphatase activity was estimated colorimetrically using the method described by Tabatabai and Bremner (1969).

#### Arylsulfatase

Arylsulfatase activity was estimated colorimetrically using the method described by Tabatabai and Bremner (1970).

## 3.6 Agronomic Parameters to be evaluated

## Plant Height, Tiller Numbers, Leaf length

In this experiment after application of rice husk biochar plant height, no of Tillers, and Leaf length are gradually increase at different level of biochar application. Significantly plant

height are increased up to 75 days after sowing as well as no of tillers also increased at different biochar application rate. Leaf Length also increased in biochar added pot as compare to control pot. After application of Rice husk biochar in wheat crop statistical analysis showed different significance in different agronomical Parameter.

#### Panicle length and No of panicles

Panicle length is measuring from the neck node (panicle base node) to the end of the panicle. Counting and recording the number of panicles except panicles shorter than the half of culm length and late emerging heads to find the no of panicles. After treating with rice husk biochar no of panicle and panicle length also increased at different rate of biochar application. Panicle are start emerging 60 days after sowing and no. of panicle are increased gradually upto 75 days after sowing as well as panicle length also increased upto 90 days after sowing. Statistical analysis of agronomic parameters are showed different significant level in different treatment.

#### **Yield Attributes**

Grain Yield

Test Weight of grain (as per Treatment)

#### .Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from all the observations shall be analyzed using the standard procedures using SPSS 22 to arrive at valid conclusions.





Fig 1 RICH HUSK BIOCHAR



Fig 3 SOAKING OF SEED



Fig 5 30 DAYS AFTER SOWING



Fig 2 POTTING MIXTURE



Fig 4 SOWING OF SEED



Fig 6 45 DAYS AFTER SOWING



Fig 7 AGROMONIC PARAMETER



Fig 9 SPRAYING



Fig 8 BOOTING STAGE



Fig 10 IRRIGATION



Fig 11 HARVESTING OF PANICLE



Fig 12 HAVESTED GRAIN

#### REFERENCE

1. Abewa, B. Yitaferu, Y. Selassie, and T. Amare, 2014. "The role of biochar on acid soil reclamation and yield of Teff (eragrostis tef [Zucc] trotter) in northwestern Ethiopia," *Journal of Agricultural Science*, **6**: 2–9.

2. Akhtar, M. and Mahmood, I., 1996. Control of plant-parasitic nematodes with organic and inorganic amendments in agricultural soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *4* (3): 243-247.

3. Al-Kanani, T., Akochi, E., MacKenzie, A.F., Alli, I. and Barrington, S., 1992. Organic and inorganic amendments to reduce ammonia losses from liquid hog manure. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **21**(4):709-715.

4. Atkinson, C.J., Fitzgerald, J.D. and Hipps, N.A., 2010. Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. *Plant and soil*, 337 (1-2): 1-18.

5. Biederman, L.A. and Harpole, W.S., 2013. Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis. *GCB bioenergy*, **5** (2): 202-214.

6. Chan, K.Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A. and Joseph, S., 2008. Using poultry litter biochars as soil amendments. *Soil Research*, *46* (5): 437-444.

7. Clough, T.J., Bertram, J.E., Ray, J.L., Condron, L.M., O'Callaghan, M., Sherlock, R.R. and Wells, N.S., 2010. Unweathered wood biochar impact on nitrous oxide emissions from a bovine-urine-amended pasture soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **74** (3): 852-860.

8. Clough, T.J., Bertram, J.E., Ray, J.L., Condron, L.M., O'Callaghan, M., Sherlock, R.R. and Wells, N.S., 2010. Unweathered wood biochar impact on nitrous oxide emissions from a bovine-urine-amended pasture soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **74** (3): 852-860.

9. De la Rosa, J.M.; Knicker, H. Bioavailability of n released from N-rich pyrogenic organic matter: An incubation study. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2011**, *43*, 2368–2373.

10. Ding, Y., Liu, Y.X., Wu, W.X., Shi, D.Z., Yang, M. and Zhong, Z.K., 2010. Evaluation of biochar effects on nitrogen retention and leaching in multi-layered soil columns. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, **213** (1-4): 47-55.

11. Friesl, W., Lombi, E., Horak, O. and Wenzel, W.W., 2003. Immobilization of heavy metals in soils using inorganic amendments in a greenhouse study. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **166** (2): 191-196.

12. Gaskin, J.W., Speir, R.A., Harris, K., Das, K.C., Lee, R.D., Morris, L.A. and Fisher, D.S., 2010. Effect of peanut hull and pine chip biochar on soil nutrients, corn nutrient status, and yield. *Agronomy Journal*, *102* (2): 623-633.

13. Gaskin, J.W., Steiner, C., Harris, K., Das, K.C. and Bibens, B., 2008. Effect of low-temperature pyrolysis conditions on biochar for agricultural use. *Transactions of the ASABE*, *51* (6). 2061-2069.

14. Gaskin, J.W., Steiner, C., Harris, K., Das, K.C. and Bibens, B., 2008. Effect of low-temperature pyrolysis conditions on biochar for agricultural use. *Transactions of the ASABE*, *51* (6): 2061-2069.

15. J. Shamshuddin, S. Muhrizal, I. Fauziah, and M. H. A. Husni, "Effects of adding organic materials to an acid sulfate soil on the growth of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) seedlings," Science of the Total Environment, **323**, no. 1-3, . 33–45, 2004.

16. K. Agboola and S. A. Moses, Effect of Biochar and Cowdung on Nodulation, Growth and Yield of Soybean(Glycine max L. Merrill), Department of Soil and Environmental Management, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria, 2015.

17. K. Alie, K. Abibatu, M. Mary, P. Mansaray, and A. Sawyerr, "Effects of biochar derived from maize stover and rice straw on the germination of their seeds," American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 249, 2014.

18. Karhu, K., Mattila, T., Bergström, I. and Regina, K., 2011. Biochar addition to agricultural soil increased CH 4 uptake and water holding capacity–results from a short-term pilot field study. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 140* (1):309-313.

19. Kloss, S., Zehetner, F., Dellantonio, A., Hamid, R., Ottner, F., Liedtke, V., Schwanninger, M., Gerzabek, M.H. and Soja, G., 2012. Characterization of slow pyrolysis biochars: effects of feedstocks and pyrolysis temperature on biochar properties. *Journal of environmental quality*, **41** (4): 990-1000.

20. Krishnakumar, S., Rajalakshmi, A.G., Balaganesh, B., Manikandan, P., Vinoth, C. and Rajendran, V., 2014. Impact of biochar on soil health. *International Journal*, *2* (4): 933-950.

21. L. Wang, C. R. Butterly, X. L. Yang, Y. Wang, H. M. S. K. Herath, and X. Jiang, "Use of crop residues with alkaline slag to ameliorate soil acidity in an Ultisol," Soil Use and Management, 28: 148–156.

22. Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R. and Karlen, D., 2010. Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, *158* (3): 436-442.

23. Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R. and Karlen, D., 2010. Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, **158** (3):436-442.

24. Laird, D.A., 2008. The charcoal vision: a win–win–win scenario for simultaneously producing bioenergy, permanently sequestering carbon, while improving soil and water quality. *Agronomy journal*, *100* (1): 178-181.

25. Laird, D.A., Fleming, P., Davis, D.D., Horton, R., Wang, B. and Karlen, D.L., 2010. Impact of biochar amendments on the quality of a typical Midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, **158** (3): 443-449.

26. Lehmann, J., Rillig, M.C., Thies, J., Masiello, C.A., Hockaday, W.C. and Crowley,
D., 2011. Biochar effects on soil biota–a review. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 43(9): 1812-1836.

27. Lu, H., Li, Z., Fu, S., Méndez, A., Gascó, G. and Paz-Ferreiro, J., 2015. Combining phytoextraction and biochar addition improves soil biochemical properties in a soil contaminated with Cd. *Chemosphere*, *119*: 209-216.

28. M. D. Tandaie, B. L. Lad, S. Ubale, S. M. Dhadage, and N. V. Wandhekar, "Effect of morphological characters on seed yield of soybean," Annual Review of Plant Physiology 34–36, 2009.

29. Major, J., Steiner, C., Downie, A. and Lehmann, J., 2009. Biochar effects on nutrient leaching. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology*, 271.

30. Novak, J.M., Busscher, W.J., Laird, D.L., Ahmedna, M., Watts, D.W. and Niandou, M.A., 2009. Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil. *Soil science*, *174* (2),105-112.

31. Obi, M.E. and Ebo, P.O., 1995. The effects of organic and inorganic amendments on soil physical properties and maize production in a severely degraded sandy soil in southern Nigeria. *Bioresource Technology*, *51*(2-3): 117-123.

32. Paz-Ferreiro, J., Gascó, G., Gutiérrez, B. and Méndez, A., 2012. Soil biochemical activities and the geometric mean of enzyme activities after application of sewage sludge and sewage sludge biochar to soil. *Biology and fertility of soils*, *48* (5), 511-517.

33. S. P. Pakhale, S. M. Navlakhe, and P. S. Solunke, "Influence of in-situ organic recycling of different legumes on soil moisture content, nutrient uptake and yield of rain fed cotton," Annual Review of Plant Physiology, 62–65, 2009.

34. Sarkhot, D.V.; Berhe, A.A.; Ghezzehei, T.A. Impact of biochar enriched with dairy manure effluent on carbon and nitrogen dynamics. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2012**, *41*, 1107–1114.

35. Schouten, S.; Van Groenigen, J.W.; Oenema, O.; Cayuela, M.L. Bioenergy from cattle manure. Implications of anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis for carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soil. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* **2012**, *4*, 751–760.

36. Singh, B.P., Hatton, B.J., Singh, B., Cowie, A.L. and Kathuria, A., 2010. Influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two contrasting soils. *Journal of environmental quality*, **39** (4): 1224-1235.

37. Smith, J.L., Collins, H.P. and Bailey, V.L., 2010. The effect of young biochar on soil respiration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **42** (12): 2345-2347.

38. Spokas, K.A., Cantrell, K.B., Novak, J.M., Archer, D.W., Ippolito, J.A., Collins, H.P., Boateng, A.A., Lima, I.M., Lamb, M.C., McAloon, A.J. and Lentz, R.D., 2012. Biochar: a synthesis of its agronomic impact beyond carbon sequestration. *Journal of environmental quality*, *41* (4): 973-989.

39. Spokas, K.A., Koskinen, W.C., Baker, J.M. and Reicosky, D.C., 2009. Impacts of woodchip biochar additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a Minnesota soil. *Chemosphere*, **77** (4):574-581.

40. Tabatabai, M.A. and Bremner, J.M., 1970. Arylsulfatase activity of soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **34** (2): 225-229.

41. Wang, T.; Camps Arbestain, M.; Hedley, M.; Bishop, P. Chemical and bioassay characterisation of nitrogen availability in biochar produced from dairy manure and biosolids. *Org. Geochem.* **2012**,*51*, 45–54.

42. Yao, Y., Gao, B., Zhang, M., Inyang, M. and Zimmerman, A.R., 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil. *Chemosphere*, **89** (11):1467-1471.